Parametric versus Nonparametric (Chi-square)

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR DIANA ARABIAT



Parametric Assumptions

- The observations must be independent.
- Dependent variable should be continuous (I/R)
- The observations must be drawn from normally distributed populations
- These populations must have the same variances. Equal variance (homogeneity of variance)
- The groups should be randomly drawn from normally distributed and independent populations

e.g. Male X Female Pharmacist X Physician Manager X Staff

•NO OVER LAP



Parametric Assumptions

- The independent variable is categorical with two or more levels.
 - Distribution for the <u>two or more</u> <u>independent</u> variables is normal.



Advantages of Parametric Techniques

They are more powerful and more flexible than nonparametric techniques.

They not only allow the researcher to study the effect of many independent variables on the dependent variable, but they also make possible the study of their interaction.



Nonparametric Methods

- Nonparametric methods are often the only way to analyze <u>nominal or ordinal data</u> and draw statistical conclusions.
- Nonparametric methods require no assumptions about the population probability distributions.
- Nonparametric methods are often called distribution-free methods.
- Nonparametric methods can be used with small samples



Nonparametric Methods

In general, for a statistical method to be classified as nonparametric, it must satisfy at least one of the following conditions.

- The method can be used with nominal data.
- The method can be used with ordinal data.
- The method can be used with interval or ratio data when no assumption can be made about the population probability distribution (in small samples).



Non-Parametric Tests

Do not make as many assumptions about the distribution of the data as the parametric (such as *t* test)

- Do not require data to be Normal
- Good for data with outliers

Non-parametric tests based on ranks of the data

• Work well for ordinal data (data that have a defined order, but for which averages may not make sense).



Nonparametric Methods

There is at least one nonparametric test equivalent to each parametric test

These tests fall into several categories

- 1. Tests of differences between groups (independent samples)
- 2. Tests of differences between variables (dependent samples)
- 3. Tests of relationships between variables



Summary Table of Statistical Tests

Level of Measurement		Sample Characteristics								
	1 Sample	2 Sa	mple	K Sample						
	-	Independent	Dependent	Independent	Dependent					
Categorical or Nominal	X2	X2	Macnarmar's X2	X2	Cochran's Q					
Rank or Ordinal		Mann Whitney U	Wilcoxin Matched Pairs Signed Ranks	Kruskal Wallis H	Friendman's ANOVA	Spearman's rho				
Parametric (Interval & Ratio)	z test or t test	t test between groups	t test within groups	1 way ANOVA between groups	1 way ANOVA (within or repeated measure)	Pearson's r				

Summary: Parametric vs. Nonparametric Statistics

0

Parametric Statistics are statistical techniques based on assumptions about the population from which the sample data are collected. Nonparametric Statistics are based on fewer assumptions about the population and the parameters.

- Assumption that data being analyzed are randomly selected from a normally distributed population.
- Requires quantitative measurement that yield interval or ratio level data.

Sometimes called "distribution-free" statistics.

A variety of nonparametric statistics are available for use with nominal or ordinal data. Chi-Square

Types of Statistical Tests

When running a *t* test and ANOVA

We compare:

- Mean differences between groups
- We assume
- random sampling
- the groups are homogeneous
- distribution is normal

Anova VS

www.wallstreetmojo.com

- samples are large enough to represent population (>30)
- DV Data: represented on an **interval or ratio** scale

These are Parametric tests!



When the assumptions are violated:

Subjects were not randomly sampled

DV Data:



- Ordinal (ranked)
- Nominal (categorized: types of car, levels of education, learning styles)
- The scores are greatly skewed or we have no knowledge of the distribution

We use tests that are equivalent to t test and ANOVA *Non-Parametric Test*!

Chi-Square test

- Must be a random sample from population
- Data must be in raw frequencies
- Variables must be independent
- A sufficiently large sample size is required (at least 20)
- Actual count data (not percentages)
- Observations must be independent.

Does not prove causality.



	Count of Sex		Health 🔻			
	Age 🔹	Sex 🔻	Average	Good	Poor	Grand Total
	0-15	Female	8	7	4	19
	0-15	Male	5	9	3	17
	16-29	Female	9	10	5	24
	16-29	Male	4	5	10	19
	≡ 30-44	Female	2	5	2	9
)	30-44	Male	5	4	5	14
1	45-64	Female	4	6	7	17
2	45-64	Male	4	8	4	16
3	65+	Female	10	5	10	25
4	65+	Male	3	8	6	17

Different Scales, Different Measures of Association

Scale of Both Variables	Measures of Association
Nominal Scale	Pearson Chi-Square: χ2
Ordinal Scale	Spearman's rho
Interval or Ratio Scale	Pearson r

Coding variables in SPSS

t)								dum	my-varial	oles-new.sa	av [Data	aSet1] - I	BM SPSS	Statistic	s Data Edito	or
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u>	<u>=</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>D</u> a	ata	Transform	n <u>A</u> n	alyze	<u>G</u> raphs	<u>U</u> tilities	Add- <u>o</u> ns	<u>W</u> indow	<u>H</u> elp					
				5								-				ABC
		Name	•	Туре	Width	Decima	als	Labe		Valu	es	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1		favourite_s	port	Numeric	8	2	Triat	hlete's favo	urite sport	{1.00, swin	nmin <u>(</u> ···	None	12	■ Right	💑 Nominal	🛇 None
2																
3																
4																
5																
6																
									Value La	abels			×			
8					_	-Value I	ahels—									
9					_	Value						(.				
10					_	vai <u>u</u> e.						Spellin	g			
12					_	Label:										
13					_	_		1.00 = "swir	nming"							
14							Add	2.00 = "cycli	ng"							
15							ange	3.00 = Tunn	ing							
16						Re	move									
17																
18								_								
19								0	< Cano	el Help						
20																
21																
22																
		1														
Data V	iew	Variable Vie	w													
															IBM	SPSS Stati

<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit	⊻iew	<u>D</u> ata	<u>T</u> ransform	<u>A</u> nalyze	<u>G</u> raphs	<u>U</u> tilities	E <u>x</u> tensions	<u>W</u> indow	<u>H</u> elp
					Re <u>p</u> o	rts		•		
					D <u>e</u> sc	riptive Stat	istics	•	123 <u>F</u> requer	ncies
16:					<u>B</u> aye	Bayesian Statistics				tives
		🧼 s	core	💑 hours	Ta <u>b</u> le	s		•	A Explore	
	1		91	16	Co <u>m</u>	pare Mean	s	•		abe
	2		93	6	<u>G</u> ene	ral Linear	Model	•		
	3		72	3	Gene	rali <u>z</u> ed Lin	ear Models	►	<u>R</u> auo	. –
	4		87	1	Mi <u>x</u> eo	Models		•	P-P Plot	ts
	5		86	2	<u>C</u> orre	late		•	🛃 <u>Q</u> -Q Plo	ts
	6		73	3	<u>R</u> egr	ession		*		
	7		68	2	L <u>o</u> gli	near		*		
	8		87	5	Neur	al Net <u>w</u> ork	s	*		
	9		78	2	Class	si <u>f</u> y		•		
1	0		99	5	<u>D</u> ime	nsion Red	luction	•		
	1		95	2	Sc <u>a</u> le	•		•		
	2		76	3	Nonp	arametric	Tests	•		
	3		84	4	Fored	asting		*		
	4		96	3	Survi	val		*		
	о 6		76	3	 Multir	le Respor	nse	*		
	7		83	3	Kissi	ng Value A	nalvsis			
1	8		84		Multir	le Imputat	tion	•		
1	9		73	4	Com	nlev Samn	les			
	20		74	4	E Simul	ation				
2	21					te Control				
2	2				Quali		an aral Madal	ing b		
2	23				<u>s</u> pati	arano ren t Markatira	nporal Model	ing P		
2	24				Direc	t mar <u>k</u> eting	J	P	1	
2	25									



ta *Output1 [Document1] - IBM SPSS Statistics Viewer											
File Edit View Data	Transform	Insert F	ormat Anal	yze <u>G</u> rap	hs Utilities Add	l-ons Window Help					
😑 H 🖨 🔯 🤌 💷 🖛 🦘 🧱 🖺 🗐 🙆 🌑											
Goutput G	■ Output ■ Log ■ Frequencies ■ Title										
Notes	10			Gender							
Active D	Cumulative Percent										
E Frequei	Valid Fema		216	45.6	6 45.6	45.6					
Ge		Male	258	54.4	4 54.4	100.0					
🛱 Mir		Total	474	100.0	100.0						
3			Mino	rity Classifi	ication						
			Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
	Valid	No	370	78.1	78.1	78.1					
		Yes	104	21.9	21.9	100.0					
		Total	474	100.0	100.0						
	4					4					
			IBN	I SPSS S	tatistics Proces	ssor is ready					





🔚 Histogram



X



Test for normality using SPSS

t										7	*Unti	tled1	[Data
<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew	<u>D</u> ata	<u>T</u> ransform	<u>A</u> nalyze	<u>G</u> raphs	<u>U</u> tilities	Ade	d- <u>o</u> ns	<u>W</u> ine	dow	<u>H</u> elp	
			h 🔟		Rep	orts		•	2	44	*		1
		NE		• —	D <u>e</u> s	criptive Sta	atistics		123	<u>F</u> reque	encies	s	
24 :				1	Con	<u>n</u> pare Mea	ins	•	۲ _a	Descri	iptives	s	
		Co	ourse	Time	<u>G</u> en	ieral Linea	r Model	•		Explor	e		/ar
	1		2.00	56.0	Gen	ierali <u>z</u> ed Li	inear Mod	lels⊳		Cross	tabs	13	
	2	_	1.00	68.0	Mi <u>x</u> e	ed Models		•		<u>Dotio</u>			
	3	_	2.00	45.0	<u>C</u> or	relate				Rauo	-		
	4		3.00	25.0	<u>R</u> eg	ression		•		<u>P</u> -P PI	ots		
	5		2.00	63.0	L <u>o</u> g	linear		•	-	<u>Q</u> -Q P	lots		
	6		3.00	14.0	Clas	ssify		•					
	7		2.00	25.0	<u>D</u> im	ension Re	eduction	•					
	8	_	3.00	88.0	Sca	le		•					
	9		1.00	45.0	Non	parametri	c Tests	•		_			
	10	_	1.00	38.0	Fore	ecasting				_			
	11		2.00	55.0	Sun	vival		•		_			
	12		3.00	49.0	 Mult	tiple Resp	onse	•					
	13	_	2.00	25.0	E Sim	ulation							
	14					lity Contro							
	15												
	16					Cur <u>v</u> e							
	17												







Boxplots	Descriptive								
Eactor levels together Stem-and-leaf									
O Dependents together I Histogram									
© <u>N</u> one									
✓ Normality plots with tests									
Spread vs Level with Levene Test									
None									
Power estimation									
© Transformed Power: N	atural log 🔻								
© Untransformed									
Continue Cancel Help									

Tests of Normality

	Course	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk			
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.	
Time	Beginner	.177	10	.200 [*]	.964	10	.827	
	Intermediate	.166	10	.200*	.969	10	.882	
	Advanced	.151	10	.200*	.965	10	.837	

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

If the **sig.** value of the shapiro-wilk test is greater than 0.05, the data is normal. if it is below 0.05, the data significantly deviate from a normal distribution.



The chi square test can only be used on data that has the following characteristics:

The data must be in the form of frequencies

The frequency data must have a precise numerical value and must be organised into categories or groups.

The expected frequency in any one cell of the table must be greater than 5.

The total number of observations must be greater than 20.

		Relative	
Degree	Frequency	Frequency	Percentage
High School	2	0.050	5.0
Bachelor's	7	0.175	17.5
MBA	20	0.500	50.0
Master's	3	0.075	7.5
Law	4	0.100	10.0
PhD	4	0.100	10.0
	40		

Formula



 χ^2 = The value of chi square **O** = The observed value **E** = The expected value $\sum (\mathbf{O} - \mathbf{E})^2$ = all the values of (O – E) squared then added together

Chi Square Test of Independence

Purpose

- To determine if two variables of interest independent (not related) or are related (dependent)?
- When the variables are independent, we are saying that knowledge of one gives us no information about the other variable. When they are dependent, we are saying that knowledge of one variable is helpful in predicting the value of the other variable.

results of the Chi-square test

The Chi-square independence test showed that gender proportions

differed significantly between different levels of sunscreen use ($\chi^2 = 12.3$; df = 2; p = 0.02), which means that males and females

showed different behavior regarding the application of sun

protection.

Interpretation of the Chi-square test results

Chi Square Test of Independence

0

- Some examples where Hypotheses one might use the chi The null squared test of independence are:
 Hypotheses The null the two independence are:
- Is level of education related to level of income?

• Is the level of price related to the level of quality in production?

The null hypothesis is that the two variables are independent. This will be true if the observed counts in the sample are similar to the expected counts.

H0: X and Y are independent H1: X and Y are dependent

Chi Square Test of Goodness of Fit

Purpose

- To determine whether an observed frequency distribution departs significantly from a hypothesized frequency distribution.
- This test is sometimes called a One-sample Chi Square Test.



Chi Square Test of Goodness of Fit

Hypotheses

- The null hypothesis is that the two variables are independent. This will be true if the observed counts in the sample are similar to the expected counts.
- H0: X follows the hypothesized distribution
- H1: X deviates from the hypothesized distribution

Steps in Test of Hypothesis

- 1. Determine the appropriate test
- 2. Establish the level of significance: α
- 3. Formulate the statistical hypothesis
- 4. Calculate the test statistic
- 5. Determine the degree of freedom
- 6. Compare computed test statistic against a tabled/critical value

1. Determine Appropriate Test

- Chi Square is used when both variables are measured on a nominal scale.
- It can be applied to interval or ratio data that have been categorized into a small number of groups.
- It assumes that the observations are randomly sampled from the population.
- All observations are independent (an individual can appear only once in a table and there are no overlapping categories).
- It does not make any assumptions about the shape of the distribution nor about the homogeneity of variances.

2. Establish Level of Significance

 α is a predetermined value

The convention

0	$\alpha = .05$
0	$\alpha = .01$
0	$\alpha = .001$

3. Determine The Hypothesis: Whether There is an Association or Not

Ho : The two variables are independent

Ha : The two variables are associated

4. Calculating Test Statistics

Contrasts <u>observed</u> frequencies in each cell of a contingency table with <u>expected</u> frequencies.

The expected frequencies represent the number of cases that would be found in each cell if the null hypothesis were true (i.e. the nominal variables are unrelated).

Expected frequency of two unrelated events is product of the row and column frequency divided by number of cases. Fe= Fr Fc/N

Expected frequency = row total x column total Grand total

4. Calculating Test Statistics Continued





5. Determine Degrees of Freedom



6. Compare computed test statistic against a tabled/critical value

The computed value of the Pearson chi- square statistic is compared with the critical value to determine if the computed value is *improbable*

The critical tabled values are based on sampling distributions of the Pearson chi-square statistic

If calculated 2 is greater than 2 table value, reject Ho

				P(X	$\leq x$)			
	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
r	$\chi^2_{0.99}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.975}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.95}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.90}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.10}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.05}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.025}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.01}(r)$
1	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.016	2,706	3.841	5.024	6.635
2	0.020	0.051	0.103	0.211	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210
3	0.115	0.216	0.352	0.584	6.251	7.815	9.348	11.34
4	0.297	0.484	0.711	1.064	7.779	9.488	11.14	13.28
5	0.554	0.831	1.145	1.610	9.236	11.07	12.83	15.09
6	0.872	1.237	1.635	2.204	10.64	12.59	14.45	16.81
7	1.239	1.690	2.167	2.833	12.02	14.07	16.01	18.48
8	1.646	2.180	2.733	3.490	13.36	15.51	17.54	20.09
9	2.088	2.700	3.325	4.168	14.68	16.92	19.02	21.67
10	2.558	3.247	3.940	4.865	15.99	18.31	20.48	23.21

Decision and Interpretation

If the probability of the test statistic is less than or equal to the probability of the alpha error rate, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude <u>that our data supports the</u> <u>research hypothesis</u>. We conclude that there is a relationship between the variables.

If the probability of the test statistic is greater than the probability of the alpha error rate, we fail to reject the null hypothesis. We conclude that there is no relationship between the variables, i.e. they are independent.

Example

Suppose a researcher is interested in voting preferences on gun control issues.

A questionnaire was developed and sent to a random sample of 90 voters.

The researcher also collects information about the political party membership of the sample of 90 respondents.



Bivariate Frequency Table or Contingency Table

	Favor	Neutral	Oppose	f row
Democrat	10	10	30	50
Republican	15	15	10	40
f column	25	25	40	n = 90

Bivariate Frequency Table or Contingency Table

	Favor	Neutral	Oppose	f row
Democrat	10	10	30	50
Republican	15	15	10	40
f co Observe frequenci	d 25	25	40	n = 90

Bivariate Frequency Table or Contingency Table

	Favor	Neutral	Oppose	frequency	
Democrat	10	10	30		
Republican	15	15	10	40	
f column	25	25	40	n = 90	

Bivariate Frequency Table or Contingency Table

	Favor	Neutral	Oppose	f row
Democrat	10	10	30	50
Republican	15	15	10	40
fcolum	25	25	40	n = 90
Column frequency				

1. Determine Appropriate Test

Party Membership (2 levels) and Nominal

1.

2.

Voting Preference (3 levels) and Nominal

2. Establish Level of Significance

Alpha of .05

3. Determine The Hypothesis

- Ho : There is no difference between D & R in their opinion on gun control issue.
- Ha : There is an association between responses to the gun control survey and the party membership in the population.



4. Calculating Test Statistiesntinued

	Favor	Neutral	Oppose	frow
		= 50*2	25/90	
Democrat	fo=10	to=10	10 = 30	50
	fe = 13.9	fe =13.9	fe=22.2	
Republican	fo=15	fo=15	fo =10	40
	fe=11.1	fe=11.1	fe=17.8	
f column	25	25	40	n = 90

4. Calculating Test Statistiesntinued

	Favor	Neutral	Oppose	frow
Democrat	fo=10	fo=10	fo =30	50
	fe =13.9	fe =13.9	fe=22.2	
Republican	fo=15	= 40* 25	=10	40
	fe = 11.1	fe=11.1	fe=17.8	
f column	25	25	40	n = 90

4. Calculating Test Statistics Continued

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{(10 - 13.89)^{2}}{13.89} + \frac{(10 - 13.89)^{2}}{13.89} + \frac{(30 - 22.2)^{2}}{22.2} + \frac{(15 - 11.11)^{2}}{11.11} + \frac{(15 - 11.11)^{2}}{11.11} + \frac{(10 - 17.8)^{2}}{17.8}$$

= 11.03

5. Determine Degrees of Freedom

df = (R-1)(C-1) = (2-1)(3-1) = 2

6. Compare computed test statistic against a tabled/critical value

 $\alpha = 0.05$

df = 2

Critical tabled value = 5.991

Test statistic, 11.03, exceeds critical value

Null hypothesis is rejected

Democrats & Republicans differ significantly in their opinions on gun control issues

Example 1: Testing for Proportions

				P(X	$\leq x$)			
	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
r	$\chi^2_{0.99}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.975}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.95}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.90}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.10}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.05}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.025}(r)$	$\chi^2_{0.01}(r)$
1	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.016	2.706	3.841	5.024	6.635
2	0.020	0.051	0.103	0.211	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210
3	0.115	0.216	0.352	0.584	6.251	7 315	9.348	11.34
4	0.297	0.484	0.711	1.064	7.779	⁹ .488	11.14	13.28
5	0.554	0.831	1.145	1.610	9.236	11:07	12.83	15.09
6	0.872	1.237	1.635	2.204	10.64	12.59	14.45	16.81
7	1.239	1.690	2.167	2.833	12.02	14.07	16.01	18.48
8	1.646	2.180	2.733	3.490		15.51	17.54	20.09
9	2.088	2.700	3.325	//		16.92	19.02	21.67
10	2.558	3.247	3.940			2.31	20.48	23.21
				(2α=0 5.991	.05 =			

ta 🛛						Chi-squ	uare test	of a	ssoci	ation	.sav [Data	aSet	t6] ·
<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit	View	<u>D</u> ata	<u>T</u> ransform	<u>A</u> nalyze	<u>G</u> raphs	<u>U</u> tilities	Add	d- <u>o</u> ns	<u>W</u> in	dow	<u>H</u> el	р	
					Rep	orts		•	۱ ۵	Å.	*			
22 : P	referred	d_Learn	nin	•	D <u>e</u> s Co <u>n</u>	criptive Stand	atistics ns	*	123	<u>F</u> reque	encies iptives	5		
		Ger	nder	Preferred_L	<u>G</u> en	ieral Linea	r Model	•		Explor	e			va
	1		Male		Gen	ierali <u>z</u> ed Li	inear Mode	ls⊳		Cross	tabe			
	2		Male		Mi <u>x</u> e	ed Models		•		01033	lavs	. [3	
	3		Male		<u>C</u> orr	relate		•	1/2	Ratio.				
·	4		Male		<u>R</u> eg	ression		•		<u>P</u> -P PI	ots			
	5		Male		Log	linear		•	-	<u>Q</u> -Q P	lots			
	6		Male		Clas	ssify		•						
	7		Male		Dim	ension Re	duction							
	8		Male		Scal	le								
	9		Male		Non	parametri	c Tests							
1	10		Male		Eore	acastina	010010							
1	1		Male		Sun	vival								
1	12		Male		Mult	tinlo Doon								
1	13		Male		m <u>u</u> it	upie Respo	nse	P.						
1	14		Male		E Sim	ulation								
1	15		Male		Qua	ality Control	I	•						
1	16		Male		ROC	C Curve								
1	17		Male											

Crosstabs: Statistics						
Chi-square	Correlations					
Nominal	Ordinal					
Contingency coefficient	🔲 <u>G</u> amma					
Phi and Cramer's V	Somers' d					
🔲 Lambda	Kendall's tau-b					
Uncertainty coefficient	Kendall's tau-c					
Nominal by Interval	🗖 Kappa					
Eta	Risk					
	McNemar					
Cochran's and Mantel-Hae	enszel statistics					
Test common odds ratio equals: 1						
Continue Cancel Help						

SPSS Output for Gun Control Example

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.025 ^a	2	.004
Likelihood Ratio	11.365	2	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.722	1	.003
N of Valid Cases	90		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 11.11.

Interpreting Cell Differences in

a Chi-square Test - 1

MARITAL MARITAL STATUS * SEX RESPONDENTS SEX Crosstabulation

Count				
		SEX RES	PONDENTS EX	
		1 MALE	2 FEMALE	Total
MARITAL	1 MARRIED	149	160	309
MARITAL	2 WIDOWED	12	49	61
STATUS	3 DIVORCED	45	59	104
	4 SEPARATED	7	13	20
	5 NEVER MARRIED	80	94	174
Total		293	375	668

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	17.848ª	4	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	19.220	4	.001		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.094	1	.759		
N of Valid Cases 668					
a. 0 cells (.0%) have minimum expecte	expected co d count is 8.7	unt less than '7.	5. The		

A chi-square test of independence of the relationship between sex and marital status finds a statistically significant relationship between the variables.

Chi-Square Test of Independence: post hoc test in SPSS (1)

🛗 GSS2002_PrejudiceAndAltruis	sm - SPSS Data Editor	
File Edit View Data Transform	Analyze Graphs Utilities Window	Help
■●■●■●□■■ 1: caseid	Reports Reports	ncies
caseid marital age 1 12 1 48 2 15 1 30 3 17 3 51	General Linear Model Explore Mixed Models Correlate Regression	s fund attend happy class bs 0 1 5 0 0 7 0 2 5 0 1 3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		You can conduct a chi-square test of independence in crosstabulation of SPSS by selecting:
9 38 5 51 10 39 3 43 11 40 3 56 12 43 3 60	Amos 13 1 17 2	Analyze > Descriptive Statistics >
13 48 1 71		Crosstabs
15 52 1 69	15 1 1 0	5 1 1 2 3
16 55 3 48	20 1 1 15	0 3 4 0 2
17 62 5 27	14 2 1 5	0 2 7 0 2
18 64 1 48	12 2 1 12	3 3 1 3 2
Data View Variable View		
Jerosstads	SPSS Proce	ssor is ready

Chi-Square Test of Independence: post hoc test in SPSS (2)

😹 Grosstabs		×			
	Bow(s):	OK 1			
🔹 givseat 📃	tund				
happy		Pasto			
helpaway		Faste			
* hhrace		Reset			
* Ioanitem	Column(s):				
	(Sev	Cancel			
* othshelp					
* peoptrbl		Help			
* polviews		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
retchnge					
* rincom98	Previous Layer 1 of 1 No	ext			
* sei					
* selffrst					
* selfless					
* tvhours	click on "Statistics"				
* volchrty	button to request the test				
	- Outlon to request the test				
Display clustered bar charts					
Suppress tables					
		1			
	Statistics Cells Format				

Chi-Square Test of Independence: post hoc test in SPSS (3)



Chi-Square Test of Independence: post hoc test in SPSS (6)

HOW FUNDAMENTALIST IS R CURRENTLY * RESPONDENTS SEX Crosstabulation

			RESPONDENTS SEX		
			1 MALE	2 FEMALE	Total
HOW	1 FUNDAMENTALIST	Count	75	99	174
FUNDAMENTALIST		Expected Count	74.9	99.1	174.0
IS R CURRENTLY		Residual	.1	1	
		Std. Residual	.0	.0	
	2 MODERATE	Count	107	161	268
		Expected Count	115.4	152.6	268.0
		Residual	-8.4	8.4	
		Std. Residual	8	.7	
	3 LIBERAL	Count	79	85	164
		Expected Count	70.6	93.4	164.0
		Residual	8.4	-8.4	
		Std. Residual	1.0	9	
Total		Count			
		Expected Count	26		

Chi-Square Tests				
	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	
Pearson Chi-Square	2,821ª	2	244	
Likelihood Ratio	2.815	2	.245	
Linear-by-Linear Association	.832	1	.362	
N of Valid Cases	606			

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 70.63.

In the table Chi-Square Tests result, SPSS also tells us that "0 cells have expected count less than 5 and the minimum expected count is 70.63".

The sample size requirement for the chisquare test of independence is satisfied.

•

Chi-Square Test of Independence: post hoc test in SPSS (7)

HOW FUNDAMENTALIST IS R CURRENTLY * RESPONDE

HOW	1 FUNDAMENTALIST	Count	
FUNDAMENTALIST		Expected Count	
IS R CURRENTLY		Residual	
		Std. Residual	
	2 MODERATE	Count	
		Expected Count	
		Residual	
		Std. Residual	
	3 LIBERAL	Count	
		Expected Count	
		Residual	
		Std. Residual	
Total		Count	
		Expected Count	

Chi-Square Tests

			Acump Cia	17
	Value	df	(2-sided)	V
Pearson Chi-Square	2.821ª	2	.244	
Likelihood Ratio	2.815	2	.245	\sim
Linear-by-Linear Association	.832	1	.362	
N of Valid Cases	606			

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 70.63.

The probability of the chi-square test statistic (chi-square=2.821) was p=0.244, greater than the alpha level of significance of 0.05. The null hypothesis that differences in "degree of religious fundamentalism" are independent of differences in "sex" is not rejected.

The research hypothesis that differences in "degree of religious fundamentalism" are related to differences in "sex" is not supported by this analysis.

Thus, the answer for this question is False. We do not interpret cell differences unless the chisquare test statistic supports the research hypothesis.